This article was downloaded by: On: 22 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



**To cite this Article** Gan, L. -S. , Zhan, Z. -J. , Yang, S. -P. and Yue, J. -M.(2006) 'Two new terpenoid glucosides from *Aster flaccidus*', Journal of Asian Natural Products Research, 8: 7, 589 — 594 **To link to this Article: DOI:** 10.1080/10286020500176963 **URL:** http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10286020500176963

# PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# Two new terpenoid glucosides from Aster flaccidus

L.-S. GAN, Z.-J. ZHAN, S.-P. YANG and J.-M. YUE\*

State Key Laboratory of Drug Research, Institute of Materia Medica, Shanghai Institutes for Biological Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201203, China

(Received 30 September 2004; revised 8 November 2004; in final form 12 November 2004)

Two new terpenoid glucosides, namely 2-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-vicodiol (1) and 10-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-oplopanone (2), along with seven known compounds, were isolated from the aerial part of *Aster flaccidus* (composite), a traditional Chinese herb medicine. The structures of 1 and 2 were established by spectroscopic methods, especially 2D NMR experiments.

Keywords: Aster flaccidus; 2-O-β-D-glucopyranoside-vicodiol; 10-O-β-D-glucopyranoside-oplopanone

# 1. Introduction

Aster is a complex genus in plant taxonomy due to its variable nature of the tendency towards interspecific hybridization and polyploidy [1]. Many species of this genus are well known for their significant biological activities, such as antipyretic, detoxicant, expectorant and antitussive [2,3]. A series of novel biological active structures from this genus have been reported in the past decades. These compounds include monoterpenoid derivatives mainly with a bicyclo[2.2.1] carbon skeleton [4,5], diterpenoid derivatives [6–10], oleanane type triterpenoids [11–13] showing good inhibitory activity against DNA synthesis in human leukemia HL-60 cells and inhibiting effects on collagenase and mucinase, lignans, acetylenic glycosides [14], and coumarins [15]. Especially, a group of cyclopeptides with antitumor activities has been isolated from *Aster tataricus* [16,17].

*Aster flaccidus* Bunge, a medicinal herb, is widely distributed in China, and some other Asian countries [1]. It has been applied in Traditional Chinese Medicine for the treatment of pneumonia, pulmonary tuberculosis and chincough [3].

In the current project, two new terpenoid glucosides, 2-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-vicodiol (1) and 10-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-oplopanone (2), along with seven know compounds were isolated from *A. flaccidus*. Herein, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of two new terpenoid glycosides.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: + 86-21-50806718. Fax: + 86-21-50806718. E-mail: jmyue@mail.shcnc.ac.cn

L-S. Gan et al.

# 2. Results and discussion

Compound 1, an amorphous powder, showed a pseudo molecular ion peak at m/z 355  $[M + Na]^+$  in the positive mode ESI-MS. Its molecular formula was determined as  $C_{16}H_{28}O_7$  by the HR-EIMS at m/z 170.1312  $[C_{10}H_{18}O_2, M-C_6H_{10}O_5]^+$ , and combined with the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data. IR spectrum of **1** showed the presence of hydroxyl ( $3363 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). An anomeric proton at  $\delta$  4.25 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and the carbon signals at  $\delta$  103.6, 75.7, 78.7, 72.3, 78.4 and 63.4 in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR showed the presence of a  $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl moiety in 1. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 revealed the existence of two methyl signals at  $\delta 0.91$  (3H, s) and 0.98 (3H, s). Except for the  $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl moiety, the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum (table 1) showed that the aglycone of **1** comprised two methyls, four methylenes (one was oxygenated), two methines (one was oxygenated) and two quaternary carbons. The data mentioned above implied a monoterpenoid feature for the aglycone of 1. The aglycone of 1 likely has a bicyclo[2.2.1] carbon skeleton by comparing the NMR data with those of vicodiol [18] and 8-hydroxyborneol [19], and confirmed by HMBC correlations (figure 1). Vicodiol and 8-hydroxyborneol are two isomers differing at the location of one hydroxyl at C-8 or C-9. The NOESY spectrum of 1 further indicated that the aglycone of 1 was vicodiol as judged by the strong NOE correlations of H-8 with H-3 $\beta$  and H-2 (figure 1). The downfield shifted carbon signal at  $\delta$  84.6 assigned for C-2 suggested that the

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of compounds 1 and 2  $2^{\dagger}$ 1\*  $\delta_c$  $\delta_c$ No  $\delta_H (J, Hz)$  $\delta_H (J, Hz)$ 55.2 55.8 1.71 (1H, m) 1 4.17 (1H, br d, 8.7) 1.92 (1H, m) 2 84.6 27.0 1.36 (1H, m) 3 36.8 1.24 (1H, m) 30.0 1.44 (1H, m) 2.24 (1H, m) 1.97 (1H, m) 1.89 (1H, dd, 8.9, 4.0) 4 43.4 215.15 29.6 1.30 (1H, m) 57.0 2.71 (1H, m) 1.70 (1H. m) 6 28.7 2.24 (1H, m) 48.2 1.85 (1H, m) 1.24 (1H, m) 7 50.8 51.11.11 (1H, m) 1.61 (1H, m) 8 66.0 3.60 (1H, d, 11.2) 24.0 3.34 (1H, d, 11.2) 1.12 (1H, m) 9 15.4 0.98 (3H, s) 39.9 1.94 (1H, m) 1.48 (1H, m) 10 15.0 0.91 (3H, s) 81.5 1130.9 1.47 (1H, m) 0.90 (3H, d, 6.8) 16.3 12 13 22.6 0.66 (3H, d, 6.8) 14 18.8 1.25 (3H, s) 15 30.0 2.18 (3H, s) 103.6 4.25 (1H, d, 7.8) 98.2 4.25 (d, 7.8) Glu-1 3.10 (1H, m) 3.16 (1H, dd, 8.8, 8.1) 75.7 Glu-2 75.6 Glu-3 78.7 3.33 (1H, dd, 9.1, 8.6) 78.5 3.34 (1H, m) Glu-4 72.3 3.28 (1H, dd, 8.8, 9.5) 72.1 3.29 (1H, m) Glu-5 78.4 3.21 (1H, dd, 5.7, 2.4) 77.9 3.24 (1H, m) 3.84 (1H, dd, 11.8, 2.3) 3.81 (1H, dd, 11.8, 2.1) Glu-6 63.4 63.2

3.66 (1H, dd, 11.8, 5.7)

3.63 (1H, dd, 11.8, 5.4)

\* Measured at 500 MHz in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.

† Measured at 400 MHz in CD<sub>3</sub>OD.



Figure 1. Selected HMBC and NOESY correlations of 1.

 $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl moiety was attached to C-2, and this was confirmed by HMBC correlation between C-2 and the anomeric proton H-1<sup>'</sup>. The structure of **1** was therefore elucidated and designated as 2-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-vicodiol.

Compound 2 was obtained as a white amorphous powder. It showed pseudo molecular ion peaks at m/z 423  $[M + Na]^+$ , 823  $[2M + Na]^+$  in positive mode ESI-MS. The molecular formula of 2 was determined as  $C_{21}H_{36}O_7$  by HR-EIMS at m/z 238.1932 [ $C_{15}H_{26}O_2$ ,  $M-C_6H_{10}O_5$ ]<sup>+</sup>, and in combination of its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra (table 1). The strong absorptions at 3381 and 1704 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the IR spectrum of **2** were assignable to the presence of hydroxyl and ketone groups, respectively. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum showed the presence of four methyl groups, two of which at  $\delta 0.66$  and 0.90 (each 3H, d, J = 6.8) were linked to the same methine group to form an isopropane, and one of which at  $\delta$  2.18 (3H, s) was considered to be next to the ketone carbonyl. <sup>13</sup>C NMR signals for the sugar moiety and <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal for the anomeric proton at  $\delta$  4.25 (1H, d, J = 7.8 Hz) showed the presence of β-glucopyranosyl moiety. Fifteen carbon signals, including four methyls, four methylenes, five methines, and two quaternary carbons in the aglycone moiety, were resolved by  $^{13}C$ NMR (with DEPT) data (table 1), implying that 2 was a sesquiterpenoid glycoside. The aforementioned spectral data also suggested that aglycone of 2 was likely oplopanone [20], which was confirmed by HMBC and NOESY spectra (figure 2). Comparing the <sup>13</sup>C NMR data of 2 with those of oplopanone, the quaternary carbon at  $\delta 81.5$  assigned to C-10 in 2 was severely down field shifted, suggesting that the sugar moiety was linked to the C-10, which was confirmed by HMBC correlation between H-1' and C-10. The obviously up-field shifted carbon signal at  $\delta$  98.2 assignable to the anomeric carbon C-1<sup>'</sup> was considered to be caused by the  $\gamma$ -gauche effect of C-14 methyl group which could be envisaged by the strong NOESY correlation between H-1' and H-14. The tendency of the up-field shifted anomeric carbon signal of  $\beta$ -glucopyranosyl in 2 is much like the cases in rehmaionosides A and C [21]. The structure of 2 was therefore elucidated.

Seven known compounds were identified as  $\alpha$ -spinasterol (**3**) and its glycoside (**4**) [22], alaschanioside A (**5**) [23], lariciresinol 9-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (**6**) [24], alangilignoside D (**7**) [25], syringaresinol (**8**) [26], 2,6-dimethoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-phenyl- $\beta$ -D-glucoside (**9**) [27] by comparison of their spectral data with those reported in the literature.

L-S. Gan et al.



Figure 2. Key HMBC and NOESY correlations of 2.

# 3. Experimental

# 3.1 General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were determined on a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 577 spectrometer with KBr disks. NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AM-400 and AM-500 spectrometers with TMS as internal standard. EIMS (70 eV) and ESIMS were carried out on a Finnigan MAT95 mass spectrometer and a Finnigan LCQ<sup>DECA</sup> instrument, respectively. All solvents used were of analytical grade (Shanghai Chemical Co., Shanghai, China). Silica gel (200–300 mesh) was used for column chromatography, and a precoated silica gel GF254 plate (Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Plant, Qingdao, People's Republic of China) was used for TLC. C18 reversed-phase silica gel (150–200 mesh, Merck), MCI gel (CHP20P, 75–150  $\mu$ m, Mitsubishi Chemical Industries Ltd) and Sephadex LH-20 gel (Amersham Biosciences) were also used for column chromatography.

#### 3.2 Plant material

The aerial part of *A. flaccidus* (Bunge) was collected from Shaanxi province and identified by Prof Xiao-An Wang, School of Biology, Shaanxi Normal University, China. A voucher specimen has been deposited at Shanghai Institute of Materia Medica (Accession number: AF-2002-1Y).

#### 3.3 Extraction and isolation

The air-dried plant (3 kg) of *A. flaccidus* was ground and extracted with 95% ethanol at room temperature to give crude extract (149 g), which was then dissolved in water (3 L) to form a suspension, and then partitioned with petroleum ether, EtOAc, BuOH successively.

The EtOAc soluble fraction (25 g) was subjected to a MCI gel column eluted with MeOH– $H_2O(50(50-100:0))$  to collect three major fractions E1–E3. Fraction E1 was applied to silica gel column chromatography eluted with petroleum ether–EtOAc (8:1) to afford **8** (30 mg). Fraction E2 was purified by silica gel column chromatography eluted with petroleum ether–

EtOAc (8:1) to give **3** (80 mg). Compound **4** (20 mg) was obtained from fraction E3 by column chromatography on a silica gel eluted with  $CHCl_3$ –MeOH (8:1).

The BuOH soluble fraction (20 g) was subjected to a MCI gel column chromatography eluted with MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (0:100–100:0) to afford four major fractions B1–B4. Fraction B1 was applied to silica gel column chromatography eluted with EtOAc–MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (20:1:0.1–5:1:0.1), then purified by C18 reversed-phase silica gel column (MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 1:9) to give **1** (6 mg). Fraction B2 was purified sequentially over silica gel column chromatography (CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 4:1:0.1), and then C18 reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography (MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 20:80) to give **5** (35 mg). Purification of fraction B3 was carried out sequentially by a silica gel column chromatography (EtOAc–MeOH– HCOOH, 50:1:0.1–10:1:0.1), then C18 reversed-phase silica gel column chromatography (MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O, 20:80) to give a major compound, which was further purified by a Sephadex LH-20 gel to afford **2** (15 mg). Fraction B4 was separated on a silica gel column eluted with a mixture of CHCl<sub>3</sub>–MeOH–H<sub>2</sub>O (8:1:0.1) to yield compounds **6**, **7**, and **9** in turn.

**3.3.1 2-O-β-D-glucopyranoside-vicodiol.** (1) was obtained as a white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -29.2 (c \ 0.75, MeOH); IR (KBr) \nu_{max} (cm^{-1}): 3363, 2922, 1728, 1549, 1464, 1078, 1018, 754; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD3OD-d<sub>4</sub>, 500 MHz) see table 1; positive ESIMS$ *m/z*: 355 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; EIMS*m/z*(rel. int.): 170 (2), 163 (3), 153 (43), 135 (27), 108 (100), 95 (31), 73 (26), 57 (12); HR-EIMS*m/z*: 170.1312 [M-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 170.1307).

**3.3.2** 10-O- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside-oplopanone. (2) was obtained as a white amorphous powder;  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -22.7$  (*c* 1.03, in MeOH); IR (KBr)  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3381, 2933, 1704, 1454,1386, 1155, 1078, 1020, 756, 667; <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD3OD-d<sub>4</sub>, 400 MHz) see table 1; positive ESIMS *m/z*: 423 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 823 [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 238 [M-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (6), 221 (100), 203 (76), 177 (70), 153 (47), 135 (36), 107 (24), 95 (24), 85 (27), 81 (23), 70 (23), 69 (19), 55 (17); HR-EIMS *m/z*: 238.1932 [M-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>10</sub>O<sub>5</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, 238.1933).

# Acknowledgements

The Financial support of the National Scientific Foundation (30025044) of People's Republic of China and the foundation from the Ministry of Science and Technology (2002CB512807) of People's Republic of China are gratefully acknowledged. We thank Prof Xiao-An Wang for the collection and identification of the plant material.

#### References

- [1] Chinese Flora, Chinese Flora, 74, pp. 238-240, Science Press, Beijing (1985).
- [2] The Encyclopedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine, *The Encyclopedia of Traditional Chinese Medicine*, pp. 177–2348, Shanghai Science and Technology Press, Shanghai (1985).
- [3] Dictionary of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Dictionary of Traditional Chinese Medicine, 1, p. 864, Medicinal Science and Technology Press of China, Beijing (1993).
- [4] T. Nagao, H. Okabe, T. Yamauchi. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 36, 571 (1988).
- [5] D.L. Cheng, Y. Shao, L. Yang, P.X. Zou. Acta. Bot. Sin., 35, 311 (1993).
- [6] S.J. Guo, L.M. Wang, D.L. Cheng. Ind. J. Chem., 36, 339 (1997).
- [7] D.L. Cheng, X.P. Cao, H.X. Wei, L. He. Phytochemistry, 33, 1181 (1993).

## L-S. Gan et al.

- [8] C.Z. Wang, D.Q. Yu. Phytochemistry, 45, 1483 (1997).
- [9] S.J. Guo, J.P. Katalinic, L. He, D.L. Cheng. Pharmazie, 53, 481 (1998).
- [10] Y. Shao, M.F. Wang, C.T. Ho, C.K. Chin, S.W. Yang, G.A. Cordell, H. Lotter, H. Wagner. *Phytochemistry*, 49, 609 (1998).
- [11] Y. Shao, C.T. Ho, C.K. Chin. J. Nat. Prod., 60, 743 (1997).
- [12] C.Z. Wang, D.Q. Yu. Planta Med., 64, 50 (1998).
- [13] T. Nagao, Y. Iwase, H. Okabe. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 41, 1562 (1993).
- [14] C.Z. Wang, D.Q. Yu. Phytochemistry, 48, 711 (1998).
- [15] K.A. Wilzer, F.R. Fronczek, L.E. Urbatsch, N.H. Fischer. Phytochemistry, 28, 1729 (1989).
- [16] H. Morita, S. Nagashima, K. Takeya, H. Itokawa. Chem. Pharm. Bull., 43, 1395 (1995).
- [17] D.L. Cheng, Y. Shao, K. Zhao, R. Hartmann, E. Roeder. Pharmazie, 51, 185 (1996).
- [18] S. Vasanth, A.B. Kundu, K.K. Purushothaman. J. Nat. Prod., 53, 354 (1990).
- [19] F. Bohlmann, J. Jakupovic, A. Schuster, R.M. King, H. Robinson. Phytochemistry, 21, 2317 (1982).
- [20] W.C. Su, J.M. Fang, Y.S. Cheng. Phytochemistry, 39, 603 (1995).
- [21] H. Sasaki, H. Nishimura, T. Morota, T. Katsuhara, M. Chin, H. Mitsuhashi. Phytochemistry, 30, 1639 (1991).
- [22] T. Furuya, Y. Orihara, Y. Tsuda. Phytochemistry, 28, 2539 (1990).
- [23] J.J. Gao, Z.J. Jia. Ind. J. Chem., 34B, 466 (1995).
- [24] D.G. Marina, M. Antonio, M. Pietro, P. Lucio. Heterocycles, 36, 2081 (1993).
- [25] K. Yuasa, T. Ide, H. Otsuka, C. Ogimi, E. Hirata, A. Takushi, Y. Takeda. Phytochemistry, 45, 611 (1997).
- [26] K. Keiko, N. Toshihiro, K. Tetsuya, K. Toshio, S.H. Rolf. Planta Med., 40, 250 (1980).
- [27] T.S. Wu, S.C. Huang, J.S. Lai, C.M. Teng, F.N. Ko, C.S. Kuoh. Phytochemistry, 32, 449 (1993).